

## **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

# STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

#### OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

2.3.3 ONSITE METEOROLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS PROGRAMS

RESPONSIBILITIES

Primary - Accident Evaluation Branch (AEB) Probabilistic Safety Assessment Branch (SPSB)

Secondary - None

### I. AREAS OF REVIEW

For this section of the site safety assessment for an early site permit application, information Information is presented by the applicant and reviewed by the staff concerning the onsite meteorological measurements programs, including instrumentation and measured data. The review covers the following specific areas:

1. Meteorological instrumentation, including siting of sensors, sensor performance specifications, methods and equipment for recording sensor output, the quality assurance program for sensors and recorders, and data acquisition and reduction procedures.

### USNRC STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

Standard review plans are prepared for the guidance of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation staff responsible for the review of applications to construct and operate nuclear power plants. These documents are made available to the public as part of the Commission's policy to inform the nuclear industry and the general public of regulatory procedures and policies. Standard review plans are not substitutes for regulatory guides or the Commission's regulations and compliance with them is not required. The standard review plan sections are keyed to the Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants. Not all sections of the Standard Format have a corresponding review plan.

Published standard review plans will be revised periodically, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to reflect new information and experience.

Comments and suggestions for improvement will be considered and should be sent to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Washington, D.C. 20555.

- 2. Meteorological data, including consideration of the period of record and amenability of the data for use in characterizing atmospheric dispersion conditions.
- 3. Additional meteorological measurement requirements for emergency preparedness planning pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50, §50.47 (Ref. 1) and Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50 (Ref. 2) are reviewed by AEBSPSB as a secondary review responsibility for SRP Section 13.3.

### II. ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

AEBSPSB acceptance criteria for the onsite meteorological measurement program are based on the relevant requirements of the following regulations:

- A. 10 CFR Part 100,  $\$100.\frac{10(c)(2)}{20(c)}$  and \$100.21(d) (Ref. 3) as related to meteorological data collected for use in characterizing meteorological conditions of the site and surrounding area.
- B. 10 CFR Part 100, §100.11(a) (Ref. 4) as related to meteorological data used in the evaluation to determine an exclusion area and a low population zone.
  - C. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I (Ref. 5), as related to meteorological data used in determining the compliance with the numerical guides for doses to meet the criterion of "as low as is reasonably achievable."

Specific criteria necessary to meet Part 100 and Appendix I are as follows:

1. The onsite meteorological measurements programs should produce data which can be summarized to provide a description of the meteorological characteristics of the site and its vicinity for the purpose of making atmospheric dispersion estimates for both postulated accidental and expected routine airborne releases of effluents and for comparison with offsite sources to determine the appropriateness of climatological data used for design considerations. The criteria for an acceptable onsite meteorological measurements program are documented in the

Regulatory Position, Section C, of Regulatory Guide 1.23 (Ref. 6).

- 2. The following additional criteria are used to judge the acceptability of meteorological data summaries for atmospheric dispersion estimates: (Ref. 7)
  - a. For the preliminary safety analysis report (PSAR) early site permit application, at least one annual cycle of onsite meteorological data should be provided at docketing.
  - b. For the final safety analysis report (FSAR), at least two consecutive annual cycles, including the most recent 1-year period, should be provided at docketing.

Meteorological data should be presented in the form of joint frequency distributions of wind speed and wind direction by atmospheric stability class in the format described in Regulatory Guide 1.23. A listing of each hour of the hourly-averaged parameters should be provided on magnetic tape electronic media in the format described in Appendix A to this Standard Review Plan section

Evidence of how well these data represent long-term conditions at the site should be presented.

### III. REVIEW PROCEDURES

### 1. Meteorological Instrumentation

The basic meteorological parameters measured by instrumentation are reviewed and should include wind direction and wind speed at two levels, ambient air temperature difference between two levels, temperature, and atmospheric moisture (at sites where water vapor is emitted, as from cooling towers or spray ponds).

### a. Instrument Siting

Instrument types, heights, and locations are compared generally to the position stated in Regulatory Guide

1.23, Positions C.1 and C.2. Detailed review procedures follow.

### (1) Local Exposure of Instruments

The local exposure of the wind and temperature sensors is reviewed to assure ensure that the measurements will represent the general site area. A determination is made whether the tower which supports the sensors will influence the wind or temperature measurements. Professional experience and studies have shown that wind sensors should be mounted on booms such that the sensors are at least two tower widths away from an open-latticed tower. For temperature sensors, mounting booms need not be as long as those for wind sensors but must be unaffected by thermal radiation from the tower itself. No temperature sensors may be mounted directly on stacks or closed towers. Mounting booms for all sensors should be oriented normal to the prevailing wind at the site.

A determination is made whether the terrain at or near the base of the tower will unnaturally affect the wind or temperature measurements. Heat reflection characteristics of the surface underlying the meteorological tower (grass, soil, gravel, paving, etc.) are estimated to assure ensure that localized influences on measurements are minimal. The position, size, and materials used in the construction of the recorder shack and nearby trees are also examined for potential localized influence on the measurements.

### (2) <u>General Exposure of Instruments</u>

Since the objective of the instrumentation is to provide measurements which represent the overall site meteorology without plant structure interference, the tower position(s) must have been selected with this general objective in mind. Examination of topographical maps, which have been modified to show finished plant grade of a nuclear

be constructed on the proposed site, and a site visit along with professional judgment on airflow patterns are used to determine and evaluate the representativeness of the location(s).

The planned plant structure lavout of a nuclear power plant or plants of specified type that might be constructed on the proposed site (to the extent known), including structure heights, are is examined for potential influence on meteorological measurements. Sensors should be located at least 10 obstruction heights away from the obstruction to minimize this influence.

### b. Meteorological Sensors

The type and performance specifications of the sensors are evaluated. Manufacturers' specifications and analysis, and operating experience for these sensors are considered in evaluation of adequacy with respect to accuracy and the potential for acceptable data recovery. Standardized evaluations such as References 9 and 13, and operational experience reports contained in research papers, are utilized.

The suitability of the specific type of sensor for use in the environmental conditions at the site is evaluated. To this end, the range of wind conditions and the ability of the sensors to withstand corrosion, blowing sand, salt, air pollutants, birds, and insects are considered.

If the sensors are new and unique, a meteorological instrumentation expert may need to be consulted.

# c. Recording of Meteorological Sensor Output

The methods of recording (e.g., digital or analog, instantaneous or average, engineering units or raw voltages) and the recording equipment, including performance specifications and location of this equipment, are evaluated. Manufacturers'

specifications and operating experience for the recorders are considered in evaluation of adequacy with respect to accuracy and the potential for acceptable data recovery.

The controlled environmental conditions in which the recorders are kept (instrument shack or control room) are reviewed for adequacy in accordance with the manufacturers' specifications. The ability to obtain a direct readout from the recorders in situ during routine inspection of systems is checked to ensure that the inspector will be able to relate the recorder output directly to the sensor measurement. Some specific criteria are contained in Regulatory Guide 1.23, Position C.3.

The reviewer determines that there are provisions for proper display of measurements of wind direction, wind speed, and vertical temperature difference in the control room during plant operation of a nuclear power plant or plants of specified type that might be constructed on the proposed site.

### d. Instrumentation Surveillance

The inspection, maintenance, and calibration procedures and their frequency are evaluated. These surveillance procedures and the frequency of attention that the instrumentation systems receive are compared to operating experience at this site and other sites with similar instrumentation with the objective of determining that acceptable data recovery with acceptable accuracy will be obtained throughout the duration of the meteorological program. Criteria for acceptable accuracy and acceptable data recovery are specified in Regulatory Guide 1.23, Positions C.4 and C.5.

### e. <u>Data Acquisition and Reduction</u>

The procedures, including both hardware and software for data acquisition and reduction, are evaluated. Since there are many methods of acquiring data from

meteorological measurement systems which are acceptable to the staff, the review procedure varies. The basic components of the program which are reviewed to ascertain the acceptability of data acquisition and reduction are:

- (1) accuracy of direct measurements and their precision,
- (2) accuracy in conversion of direct measurement units to meteorological units,
- (3) adequacy of frequency and mode (instantaneous or average) of sampling, and
- (4) averaging time of system outputs for final disposition and accuracy of these data.

Since the instrument accuracy criteria in Regulatory Guide 1.23 refer to overall system accuracy for time-averaged values, the overall system accuracy is evaluated in addition to the component (sensor, recorder, and reduction) accuracies. The evaluation consists primarily of using statistical procedures for compound errors, based on sensor accuracy, recorder accuracy, conversion of units accuracy, and frequency and mode of sampling (Ref. 10).

### 2. Meteorological Data Summaries

Annual (i.e., representing the annual cycle) joint frequency distributions of wind direction and wind speed by atmospheric stability class are evaluated for sufficient detail to permit the staff to make an independent determination of the atmospheric dispersion conditions.

The format of the data (joint frequency distribution and hourly average) is reviewed to ensure that it will be usable by the staff. The formats in Regulatory Guide 1.23 and in Appendix A to this Standard Review Plan section are used for comparison. If a site has a high occurrence of low wind speeds. a finer category breakdown should be used for the lower speeds so data are not clustered in a few categories.

"Calm" wind conditions (which should be defined as wind speeds less than the starting speed of the anemometer or vane. whichever is higher) are checked for reasonableness. For the joint frequency distribution summary, they They should be in the distributions as a separate wind speed class, without directional assignment, for each atmospheric stability class.

Data quality may be checked using the NUREG-0917 (Ref. 12) methodology and/or a computer spreadsheet.

Annual joint frequency distributions for each expected mode of release (i.e., ground level and elevated) are checked for appropriateness of heights of measurements of wind direction, wind speed, and atmospheric stability. Winds at the 10-meter level and the temperature difference ( $\Delta T$ ) between the vent height and the 10-meter level are used for vent and penetration releases. Winds from near release height and  $\Delta T$  between release height and the 10-meter level are used for stack releases.

The climatic representativeness of the joint frequency distribution is checked by comparison with nearby stations which have collected reliable meteorological data over a long period of time (10-20 years). The distributions are compared with sites in similar geographical and topographical locations to assure ensure that the data are reasonable.

References  $\theta$  9 through  $\theta$  13 are information sources that are used during the review.

### IV. EVALUATION FINDINGS

The reviewer verifies that sufficient information has been provided in accordance with the requirements of this SRP section and that the evaluation supports the following type of concluding statement, to be included in the staff's safety evaluation report:

As set forth above, the The staff has reviewed the available information relative to the onsite meteorological

measurements program and the data collected by the program. As described above, the applicant has provided and substantiated information on the meteorological measurements program. Also, the applicant has met the Regulatory Position in Regulatory Guide 1.23 with respect to meteorological data collection and equipment. The staff concludes that the system provides adequate data to represent onsite meteorological conditions as required by 10 CFR Part 100, §100.10. The onsite data also provide an acceptable basis for making estimates of atmospheric dispersion for design basis accident and routine releases from the plant to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 100, §100.11 and Appendix I to 10 CFR Part 50. These conclusions are based on the following:

- 1. The applicant has provided and substantiated information on the meteorological measurements program.
- 2. The applicant has met the Regulatory Position in Regulatory Guide 1.23 with respect to meteorological data collection and equipment.

Therefore. the staff concludes that the system provides adequate data to represent onsite meteorological conditions as required by 10 CFR 100.20. The onsite data also provide an acceptable basis for making estimates of atmospheric dispersion for design basis accident and routine releases from a nuclear power plant or plants of specified type that might be constructed on the proposed site to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 100 and Appendix I to 10 CFR Part 50.

For the CP review, if adequate meteorological data have not been acquired by the applicant and presented to the staff, a statement requiring the applicant to obtain adequate data in a timely manner will be added.

These statements should be preceded by a brief summary description of the onsite meteorological measurements program covering the following items:

1. height and location of meteorological sensors by type,

- 2. period of data record,
- 3. data recovery, and
- 4. meteorological parameters used for atmospheric diffusion estimates.

### V. IMPLEMENTATION

The following provides guidance to applicants and licensees regarding the staff's plans for using the SRP section.

This SRP section will be used by the staff when performing safety evaluations of early site permit applications submitted by applicants pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. Except in those cases in which the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for complying with specified portions of the Commission's regulations, the method described herein will be used by the staff in its evaluation of conformance with Commission regulations.

Implementation schedules for conformance to parts of the method discussed herein are contained in the referenced regulatory guides.

### VI. REFERENCES

- 1. 10 CFR Part 50, §50.47, "Emergency Plans."
- 2. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, "Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Production and Utilization Facilities."
- 3. 10 CFR Part 100. Subpart B. "Evaluation Factors for Stationary Power Reactor Site Applications on or after January 10, 1997." 100.10, "Reactor Site Criteria, Site Evaluation Factors."
- 4. 10 CFR Part 100, 100.11, "Reactor Site Criteria,

  Determination of Exclusion Area, Low Population Zone, and
  Population Center Distance."

- 5. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I, "Numerical Guides for Design Objectives and Limiting Conditions for Operation to Meet the Condition."
- 6. Regulatory Guide 1.23, "Onsite Meteorological Programs."
- 7. Regulatory Guide 4.2, "Preparation of Environmental Reports for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 8. R. C. Hilfiker, "Exposure of Instruments," Chapter in Air Pollution Meteorology Manual, Training Course 411 conducted by USEPA Air Pollution Training Institute, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, August 1973.
- 9. D. H. Slade (ed.), "Meteorology and Atomic Energy 1968," TID-24190, Division of Technical Information, USAEC (1968).
- 10. C. E. P. Brooks and N. Caruthers, "Handbook of Statistical Methods in Meteorology," M.O. 538, Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London (1953).
- 11. D. A. Mazzarella, "An Inventory of Specifications for Wind Measuring Instruments," Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.  $\underline{53}$ , 860 (1972).
- 12. NUREG-0917. "NRC Staff Computer Programs for Use with Meteorological Data," July 1982.
- 13. Darrvl Randerson (ed.). "Atmospheric Science and Power Production," DOE/TIC-27601, U.S. Department of Energy (1984).

#### APPENDIX A

#### Standard Review Plan Section 2.3.3

RECOMMENDED FORMAT FOR HOURLY METEOROLOGICAL

DATA TO BE PLACED ON MAGNETIC TAPE ELECTRONIC MEDIA \*\*

<del>USE:                                    </del>	9-track tape (7 will be acceptable)
	Standard Label which would include:
_	Record Length = 160
	Block Size (3200 - fixed block size)
	Density (1600 BPI - 800 will be accepted)

Do Not Use: Magnetic tapes with unformatted or spanned records

At the beginning of each tape file, use the first five (5) records (which is are the equivalent of ten punch cards) to give a tape file description. Include plant name; location (latitude, longitude); dates of data; information explaining data containeding in the "other" fields if they are used; heights of measurements; and any additional information pertinent to identification of the tapefile. Make sure all five records are included, even if some are blank. Format for the first five records will be 160Al. Meteorological data format is (1614, 1214, I3, I4, 25F5.1, F5.2, 3F5.1).

All data should be given to the tenth of a unit, except solar radiation, which should be given to a hundredth of a unit. This does not necessarily indicate the accuracy of the data (e.g., wind direction is usually given to the nearest degree). All nines in any field indicate a lost record (99999). All sevens in a wind direction field indicate calm (77777). If there are only two levels of data, use the upper and lower levels. If there is only one level of data, use the upper level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Data on magnetic tape are acceptable in any reasonable format if the format is completely described (see NUREG-0158, Part 1) and if a sample tape dump is provided.

# MAGNETIC TAPE METEOROLOGICAL DATA ON ELECTRONIC MEDIA

# LOCATION: DATE OF DATA RECORD: 16 Identifier (can be anything) <del>I2</del>**I4** Year Ι3 Julian Day I 4 Hour (on 24-hour clock) ACCURACY <u>F5.1</u> Upper Measurements: Level = \_\_\_\_ meters F5.1 Wind Direction (degrees) F5.1 Wind Speed (meter/sec) F5.1 Sigma Theta (degrees) Ambient Temperature (°C) F5.1 Moisture: \_\_\_\_\_ \_F5.1\_ F5.1 Other: \_\_\_\_ F5.1 Intermediate Measurements: Level = \_\_\_\_ meters F5.1 Wind Direction (degrees) F5.1 Wind Speed (meters/sec) <u>F5.</u>1 Sigma Theta (degrees) <u>F5.1</u> Ambient Temperature (°C) \_F5.1\_ Moisture: \_\_\_\_\_ Other: \_\_\_\_ F5.1 $\underline{\mathsf{F5.1}}$ Lower Measurements: Level = $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ meters

<u>F5.1</u>	Wind Direction (degrees)
MAGNETIC	TAPE METEOROLOGICAL DATA ON ELECTRONIC MEDIA (Continued)
<u>F5.1</u>	Wind Speed (meters/sec)
<u>F5.1</u>	Sigma Theta (degrees)
<u>F5.1</u>	Ambient Temperature (°C)
<u>F5.1</u>	Moisture:
<u>F5.1</u>	Other:
<u>F5.1</u>	Temp. Diff. (Upper-Lower) (°C/100 meters)
<u>F5.1</u>	Temp. Diff. (Upper-Intermediate) (°C/100 meters)
<u>F5.1</u>	Temp. Diff. (Intermediate-Lower) (°C/100 meters)
<u>F5.1</u>	Precipitation (mm)
<u>F5.1</u>	Solar Radiation (cal/cm²/min)
<u>F5.1</u>	Visibility (km)
<u>F5.1</u>	Other:
F5 1	Othar.